

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: 100-1 Project Consent, L122 40W
Date: 11 March 2013 12:28:43

Final opportunity for submissions

We will find that our concerns and previous submissions have not been addressed by the applicant even though there is seemingly an answer for everything from its representatives, therefore, please accept the below, many thanks.

As mentioned by the applicant representative (verbal) times, including during recent CA hearings we have no legal right to 'sue' you, we do have a legal right to the undisturbed use and peaceful occupation and enjoyment of our home. With the proposed O&S being in such close proximity to our property this is surely an responsibility should the proposed DCO be granted, we state the level of mitigation offered.

We find it concerning that even though we have made a proposition to discuss non-response/compliance levels with the applicant, there is a reluctance to reach agreement (as noted in responses published at deadline 7). The applicant representative has a website in which the first (several) pages make note of its expertise and experience in the field (almost knowing its own trumpet) but notes in the most recent responses that discussions on this subject cannot take place until construction is underway. Surely with such expertise the applicant and representative can propose a suitable level without the need to 'sue' and 'sue' what happens?

The applicant now notes that DCC are now no longer in a position to enforce the conditions of any DCO and offers to pay the Local Authority for a suitable representative to oversee the project, surely this is potentially a substantial conflict of interest? How can a person being paid by the applicant be completely impartial?

Is there a distance limit requirement on any compulsory purchase of land? This is not noted by the applicant within the DCO. Our property lies within 3 metres of the largest section of CA land and we believe should sit within the circumference of any such acquisition.

Other such Nationally significant projects have a voluntary CPO process which is led by the applicant, being that this project is of such a substantial level for the locality (largest O&S ever constructed) should the applicant be offering a similar voluntary scheme to that of HS2?

Our small number of 8 properties in facing the most significant disruption across the whole proposal yet seems to have received the least engagement from the applicant. The applicant notes that no significant detriment is expected in the long term is 11 years? Where detriments how short, medium and long term is decided in others lives? 11 years certainly seems a long time to wait before mitigation factors take effect to most reasonable persons. What about the preceding 5, or 14 years of living with a non-sustainable and rural structure, whose before had been active fields and wildlife (not to mention the implications of construction works).

We are both full time working persons and would like to have further time to engage with legal representatives and other relevant support, unfortunately this is not possible for us but we would like to hope that the 50k can pay from our responses the genuine concerns and strength of local feeling surrounding the proposal.

Kind Regards,

Amy Evans & Martin Griffiths
[REDACTED]